

FILED

January 25, 2021

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISION

Nathan Ochsner, Clerk of Court

NACHAIYA KAMA

Plaintiff,

vs.

TIRR MEMORIAL HERMANN,

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

C.A. No. 4:19-cv-04682

(JURY TRIAL DEMANDED)

MATHEMATICAL RESPONSE: Dkt. No. 56

TO THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT:

Comes now, Nachaiya Kama, Plaintiff, in the above-captioned cause, Mathematically response, solves for X in the equation and other equations as follows in response to Dkt. No. 56 intentionally filed on the late Dr. King Jr. day with incomplete intentional documents attached.

$$\textcircled{1} \text{ Dkt. No. 58! .}$$

$$\text{Dkt. No. 56}$$

$$\frac{\text{Dkt. No. 58} \times \text{Dkt. No. 54} \times \text{Dkt. No. 52}}{\text{Dkt. No. 56}} = \text{Dkt. No. 15}$$

$$\therefore \text{Dkt. No. 15} = \text{Exhibit A.}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \sqrt{\text{Dkt. No. 58}} = x$$

$$x - \text{Dkt. No. 54} - \text{Dkt. No. 15} = 0$$

$$\therefore x = \text{Dkt. No. 54} + \text{Dkt. No. 15}$$

$$x = \text{Dkt. No. 52.}$$

Furthermore:

$$\textcircled{3} \sqrt{\text{Dkt. No. 58}} = \text{Dkt. No. 52} \times \text{Dkt. No. 54} = \text{Dkt. No. 15.}$$

And,

$$\frac{\text{Dkt. No. 58} \times \text{Dkt. No. 49}}{\text{Dkt. No. 56}} \times \frac{\cancel{\text{Dkt. No. 51}} \times \cancel{\text{Dkt. No. 53}}}{1} =$$

$$\text{Dkt. No. 58} \times \text{Dkt. No. 49} = \text{Dkt. No. 15,}$$

$$\therefore \text{Dkt. No. 15} = \underline{\underline{\text{Exhibit A.}}}$$

Respectfully Submitted,

Date: Jan. 25, 2021


Plaintiff Kama. (Pro Se)